

Observation Consisting of Parameter and Error: Determination of Parameter

Dhritikesh Chakrabarty

Abstract - A method has been developed for determining the true value of the parameter in the situation where the observations, collected for the purpose, are composed of some the parameter itself and chance errors. The present paper is based on this development specially on the method of determination of the true value of the parameter and on a numerical application of the method.

Key Words - Observation, parameter, chance error, determination of parameter

I. INTRODUCTION

Observations or data collected from experiments or survey normally suffer from various errors. Error occurs due to two broad types of causes namely (i) assignable cause/causes which can be detected, measured and controlled and (ii) chance cause which is unavoidable, uncontrollable & immeasurable. Consequently, the findings obtained by analyzing the observations or data which are free from the assignable errors are also subject to errors due to the presence of chance error in the observations. Determination of parameters, in different situations, based on the observations is also subject to error due to the same reason.

There are many situations where observations

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$$

are composed of some parameter μ and chance errors. The existing methods of estimation namely least squares method, maximum likelihood method, minimum variance unbiased method, method of moment and method of minimum chi-square provides

$$\bar{X} = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

as estimator of the parameter μ as in [3], [5], [11], [4], [14], [16], [10]. This estimator suffers from an error

Manuscript has been received on 07-01-2014 and revised on 20-04-2014.

Dr. Dhritikesh Chakrabarty is currently Associate Professor and Head of the Department of Statistics of Handique Girls' College, Guwahati – 781001, Assam, India, Phone: 03612543793, Mobile: +919954725639, e-mail: dhritikesh.c@rediffmail.com & dhritikeshchakrabarty@gmail.com. The author is also a permanent Guest Professor in the National Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research, Guwahati as well as Visiting Guest Faculty in the Ph.D. Course Work in Gauhati University.

$$\bar{\varepsilon}_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i$$

which may not be zero. In other words, none of these methods can provide the true value of the parameter μ . In the current study, a method has been developed for determining the true value of the parameter μ in such situation. The present paper is based on this method of determination of the true value of the parameter and on a numerical application of the method.

II. GAUSSIAN DISCOVERY

In the year 1809, German mathematician *Carl Friedrich Gauss* discovered the most significant probability distribution in the theory of statistics popularly known as normal distribution, the credit for which discovery is also given by some authors to a French mathematician *Abraham De Moivre* who published a paper in 1738 that showed the normal distribution as an approximation to the binomial distribution discovered by *James Bernoulli* in 1713 as in [1], [2], [8], [9], [12], [13], [15], [17] & [18]. The probability density function of normal probability distribution discovered by *Gauss* is described by the probability density function

$$f(x : \mu, \sigma) = \{\sigma (2\pi)^{1/2}\}^{-1} \exp [-1/2 \{(x-\mu)/\sigma\}^2] \quad (2.1)$$

$$-\infty < x < \infty, -\infty < \mu < \infty, 0 < \sigma < \infty.$$

where (i) X is the associated normal variable,

(ii) μ & σ are the two parameters of the distribution

and (iii) mean of $X = \mu$

& standard deviation of $X = \sigma$.

Note: If $\mu = 0$ & $\sigma = 1$,

the density is standardized and X then becomes a standard normal variable.

II(A). AREA PROPERTY OF GAUSSIAN DISCOVERY

If X follows the normal probability distribution with mean μ and standard deviation σ then

$$P(\mu - 1.96 \sigma < X < \mu + 1.96 \sigma) = 0.95, \quad (2.2)$$

$$P(\mu - 2.58 \sigma < X < \mu + 2.58 \sigma) = 0.99 \quad (2.3)$$

$$\& P(\mu - 3\sigma < X < \mu + 3\sigma) = 0.9973 \quad (2.4)$$

III. DEVELOPMENT OF THE METHOD

If the observations X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are composed of some parameter μ and chance errors, the mathematical model satisfied by them is

$$X_i = \mu + \varepsilon_i \quad (3.1)$$

($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$)

where $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ are the chance errors associated to X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n respectively.

It is to be noted that

(1) X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are known,

(2) $\mu, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ are unknown

& (3) the number of linear equations in (3.1) is n with $n+1$ unknowns implying that the equations are not solvable mathematically.

One can establish logically the following characteristics of ε_i :

(1) $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ are unknown values of the chance error variable ε .

(2) The values $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ are very small relative to the respective values X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n .

(3) The variable ε assumes both positive and negative values.

(4) Sum of all possible values of each ε is 0 (zero).

(5) $P(-a - da < \varepsilon < -a) = P(a < \varepsilon < a + da)$ for every real a .

(6) $P(a < \varepsilon < a + da) > P(b < \varepsilon < b + db)$ & $P(-a - da < \varepsilon < -a) < P(-b - db < \varepsilon < -b)$ for every real positive $a < b$.

(7) The facts (3), (5) & (6) together imply that ε obeys the normal probability law.

(8) The facts (4) & (7) together imply that $E(\varepsilon) = 0$.

(9) Standard deviation of ε is unknown and small, say σ_ε .

(10) The facts (6), (7) & (8) together imply that ε obeys the normal probability law with mean (expectation) 0 & standard deviation σ_ε . Thus

$$\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma_\varepsilon) \quad (3.2)$$

III(A). THE METHOD

Let the observations X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be arranged in ascending order of magnitude as

$$X_{(1)} < X_{(2)} < \dots < X_{(n)} \quad (3.3)$$

Here, $X_{(1)}$ contains the maximum negative error and $X_{(n)}$ contains the maximum positive error.

Let us construct the averages

$$\bar{X}_{(i)}(1) = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n X_{(j)} \quad (3.4)$$

($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$).

Due to the symmetry of ε_i about zero, some of these averages will lie above μ and the others below μ .

Also,

$$\bar{X}_{(1)}(1) > \bar{X}_{(2)}(1) > \dots > \bar{X}_{(n)}(1) \quad (3.5)$$

Hence,

$$\bar{X}_{(n)}(1) < \mu < \bar{X}_{(1)}(1) \quad (3.6)$$

Now, let us exclude the two extreme values namely $X_{(1)}$ and $X_{(n)}$ and construct

$$\bar{X}_{(i)}(2) = \sum_{j=2, j \neq i}^{n-1} X_{(j)} \quad (3.7)$$

($i = 2, \dots, n-1$).

Then, we can obtain that

$$\bar{X}_{(2)}(2) > \bar{X}_{(3)}(2) > \dots > \bar{X}_{(n-1)}(2) \quad (3.8)$$

Hence,

$$\bar{X}_{(n-1)}(2) < \mu < \bar{X}_{(2)}(2) \quad (3.9)$$

One can exclude the four extreme values namely $X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, X_{(n-1)}$ and $X_{(n)}$ and construct

$$\bar{X}_{(i)}(3) = \sum_{j=3, j \neq i}^{n-2} X_{(j)} \quad (3.10)$$

($i = 3, \dots, n-2$)

to obtain

$$\bar{X}_{(2)}(3) > \bar{X}_{(3)}(3) > \dots > \bar{X}_{(n-1)}(3) \quad (3.11)$$

so that

$$\bar{X}_{(n-2)}(3) < \mu < \bar{X}_{(3)}(3) \quad (3.12)$$

One can exclude the six extreme values namely $X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, X_{(3)}, X_{(n-2)}, X_{(n-1)}$ and $X_{(n)}$ and construct

$$\bar{X}_{(i)}(4) = \sum_{j=4, j \neq i}^{n-3} X_{(j)} \quad (3.13)$$

($i = 4, \dots, n-3$)

to obtain

$$\bar{X}_{(3)}(4) > \bar{X}_{(4)}(4) > \dots > \bar{X}_{(n-3)}(4) \quad (3.14)$$

so that

$$\bar{X}_{(n-3)}(4) < \mu < \bar{X}_{(4)}(4) \quad (3.15)$$

One can exclude the eight extreme values (the first four ones and the last four ones), ten extreme values (the first five ones and the last five ones) etc. to obtain more intervals containing μ . Some or all of these intervals can yield the true value of μ .

IV. ANALYSIS OF ANNUAL EXTRIIMUM OF TEMPERATURE

Temperature at a location attains at a maximum and at a minimum during the calendar year. Let T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n be the observed values of the maximum temperature occurred at a location during the calendar years 1, 2, 3, ..., n respectively.

The annual maximum of temperature at a location is to remain the same provided there is no cause(s) influencing upon the change in temperature at the location other than the natural cause. However, it is not free from the influence of chance error which is universal. For this reason, variation occurs among the observations on this parameter over years. Thus if β is the natural annual maximum of temperature (abbreviated as $NaMaxT$) at the location,

$$T_i = \beta + \varepsilon_i \quad (4.1)$$

($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$)

where ε_i is the chance error associated to the observation T_i .

Similarly if t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n are the observed values of the minimum temperature occurred at a location during the calendar years 1, 2, 3, ..., n respectively and α is

the natural annual minimum of temperature (abbreviated as *NaMinT*) at the location,

$$t_i = \alpha + e_i \quad (4.2)$$

($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$)

where e_i is the chance error associated to the observation t_i . Thus, the method discussed above can be suitably applied to determine the values of the two parameters α and β .

IV (A) DETERMINATION OF NaMaxT AT GUWAHATI

Observed values of annual maximum Temperature at Guwahati observed during the period from 1969 to 2010 have been collected from the meteorological department of India as in [6] & [7]. These have been presented in Table I and arranged in ascending order of magnitude in Table II.

Table I
Observed values of Annual Maximum
Temperature at Guwahati (in Degree Celsius)

Year	Observed value	Year	Observed value
1969	37.1	1989	36.7
1970	36.6	1990	36.0
1971	36.0	1991	37.4
1972	35.7	1992	39.4
1973	39.0	1993	36.4
1974	36.1	1994	37.3
1975	39.2	1995	36.3
1976	39.0	1996	37.2
1977	35.3	2000	37.5
1978	36.8	2001	36.7
1979	38.6	2002	35.7
1980	35.1	2003	37.4
1981	35.8	2004	38.0
1982	36.5	2005	36.6
1983	36.7	2006	38.0
1984	37.2	2007	37.3
1985	36.5	2008	37.3
1986	38.4	2009	38.0
1987	37.2	2010	37.2
1988	36.3		

Table II
Observed values of Annual Maximum Temperature at
Guwahati in ascending order of magnitude (in Degree
Celsius)

Serial No	Observed value	Serial No	Observed value
1	35.1	13	37.1
2	35.3	14	37.2
3	35.7	15	37.3
4	35.8	16	37.4
5	36.0	17	37.5
6	36.1	18	38.0
7	36.3	19	38.4
8	36.4	20	38.6
9	36.5	21	39.0
10	36.6	22	39.2
11	36.7	23	39.4
12	36.8		

The interval values (in Degree Celsius) of the *NaMaxT* at Guwahati obtained by applying the formulae (3.6), (3.9), (3.12) & (3.15) are (36.95450 , 37.15000) , (36.93500 , 37.13000) , (36.91110 , 37.09440) & (36.88125 , 37.05625) respectively from which it can be obtained that the value of the *NaMaxT* at Guwahati is 37.0 Degree Celsius.

IV (B) DETERMINATION OF NaMinT AT GUWAHATI

Observed values of annual minimum Temperature at Guwahati observed during the period from 1969 to 2010 have been collected from the meteorological department of India as in [6] & [7]. These have been presented in Table III and arranged in ascending order of magnitude in Table IV.

Table III
Observed values of Annual Minimum Temperature at
Guwahati (in Degree Celsius)

Year	Observed value	Year	Observed value
1969	5.8	1989	6.7
1970	7.2	1990	8.7
1971	5.9	1991	7.4
1972	8.0	1992	5.9
1973	5.0	1993	7.8
1974	6.3	1994	8.8
1975	7.2	1995	7.5
1976	6.6	1996	9.4
1977	6.2	2000	8.5
1978	7.3	2001	8.9
1979	6.2	2002	8.6
1980	6.4	2003	8.0
1981	7.5	2004	6.7
1982	6.2	2005	8.4
1983	4.9	2006	9.6
1984	6.1	2007	6.4
1985	7.8	2008	9.7
1986	8.6	2009	9.8
1987	7.7	2010	8.6
1988	9.2		

Table IV
Observed values of Annual Minimum Temperature at
Guwahati in ascending order of magnitude (in Degree
Celsius)

Serial No	Observed value	Serial No	Observed value
1	4.9	15	7.7
2	5.0	16	7.8
3	5.8	17	8.0
4	5.9	18	8.4
5	6.1	19	8.5
6	6.2	20	8.6
7	6.3	21	8.7
8	6.4	22	8.8
9	6.6	23	8.9
10	6.7	24	9.2
11	7.2	25	9.4
12	7.3	26	9.6
13	7.4	27	9.7
14	7.5	28	9.8

The interval values (in Degree Celsius) of the $NaMinT$ at Guwahati obtained by applying the formulae (3.6), (3.9), (3.12) & (3.15) are (7.50370 , 7.68518) , (7.52000 , 7.70800) , (7.53913 , 7.70434) & (7.53333 , 7.70000) respectively from which it can be obtained that the value of the $NaMinT$ at Guwahati is 7.6 Degree Celsius.

V. CONCLUSION

1. The method developed here can be summarized as follows:

- (i) Arrange the distinct observed values in ascending or descending order of magnitude.
- (ii) Corresponding to each observed value, compute the mean of the distinct observed all the distinct values excluding the former.
- (iii) Observe the movements of the means from the highest as well as from the lowest ones and determine the value of the parameter
- (iv) The value of the parameter can also be determined from the interval formed by the highest mean and the lowest mean.
- (v) Confirm the correctness, of the results obtained, by repeating the process based on the observed values excluding

the extreme two observed values,
the extreme four observed values,
the extreme six observed values,
.....

etc. respectively as required .

2. The existing statistical methods of estimation yield estimates which are not free from error. However, the method developed here yield the estimate which is free from error (i.e. exactly equal to the true value of the parameter).

3. The estimated value computed by the existing methods of estimation varies if some observations are excluded and / or if some new observations are included. However, the value computed by the method developed here remains the same under this situation. Following findings (in Table V and Table VI) are some examples:

Table V

Maximum Likelihood / Minimum Variance Unbiased / Least Squares / Method of Moments / Minimum Chi Square Estimate of the $NaMaxT$ at Guwahati if only one observation is excluded (in Degree Celsius)

Excluded observation	Estimated value	Excluded observation	Estimated value
37.1	37.0591	36.5	37.0864
36.6	37.0818	36.7	37.0772
36.0	37.1000	37.2	37.0545
35.7	37.1200	38.4	37.0000
39.0	36.9727	36.3	37.0955
36.1	37.1045	37.4	37.0455
39.2	36.9636	39.4	36.9545
35.3	37.1400	36.4	37.0909
36.8	37.0727	37.5	37.0409
38.6	36.9909	37.3	37.0500
35.1	37.1500	38.0	37.0182
35.8	37.1100		

However, in each of these situations, the value of the $NaMaxT$ at Guwahati by the method developed here has been found to be 37.0 Degree Celsius.

Table VI

Maximum Likelihood / Minimum Variance Unbiased / Least Squares / Method of Moments / Minimum Chi Square Estimate of the $NaMinT$ at Guwahati if only one observation is excluded (in Degree Celsius)

Excluded observation	Estimated value	Excluded observation	Estimated value
5.8	7.65185	8.6	7.54814
7.2	7.60000	7.7	7.58148
5.9	7.64814	9.2	7.52592
8.0	7.57037	6.7	7.61851
5.0	7.68148	8.7	7.54444
6.3	7.63333	7.4	7.59259
6.6	7.62222	8.8	7.54074
6.2	7.63703	9.4	7.51851
7.3	7.59629	8.5	7.55185
6.4	7.62962	8.9	7.53703
7.5	7.58888	8.4	7.55555
4.9	7.68518	9.6	7.51111
6.1	7.64074	9.7	7.50740
7.8	7.57777	9.8	7.50370

However, in each of these situations, the value of the $NaMinT$ at Guwahati by the method developed here has been found to be 7.6 Degree Celsius.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abraham De Moivre, “ De Mensura Sortis (Latin Version) ”, Philosophical Transaction of the Royal Society, 1711.
- [2] Abraham De Moivre, “ The Doctrine of Chances ”, 1st Edition (2nd Edition In 1738 & 3rd Edition in 1756), ISBN 0 – 8218 – 2103 – 2, 1718.
- [3] Aldrich John, “ Fisher’s Inverse Probability of 1930 ”, International Statistical Review, vol. 68, pp. 155 – 172, 2000.
- [4] Anders Hald, “ On the History of Maximum Likelihood in Relation to Inverse Probability and Least Squares”, Statistical Science, vol. 14, pp. 214 – 222, 1999.
- [5] Birnbaum Allan, “ On the Foundations of Statistical Inference” Journal of the American Statistical Association, vol. 57, pp. 269 – 306, 1962.
- [6] Dhritikesh Chakrabarty, “ Probabilistic Forecasting of Time Series “, Report of Post Doctoral Research Project (2002 – 2005), pp. 55 – 61, University Grants Commission, December 2005.
- [7] Dhritikesh Chakrabarty, “ Determination of the Natural Extrema of Temperature in the context of Assam ”, Report of the Research Project (2009 – 2011), pp. 7 – 9 & 65 – 73, University Grants Commission, December 2011.
- [8] Dhritikesh Chakrabarty, “ Probability: Link between the Classical Definition and the Empirical Definition ”, J. Ass. Sc. Soc., vol. 45, pp. 13 – 18, June 2005.
- [9] Dhritikesh Chakrabarty, “ Bernoulli’s Definition of Probability : Special Case of Its Chakrabarty’s Definition ”, Int. J. Agricult. Stat. Sci., vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 23 – 27, 2008.
- [10] Erich L. Lehmann & George Casella, “ Theory of Point Estimation”, 2nd ed. Springer. ISBN 0 – 387 – 98502 – 6, 1998.

- [11] G. A. Barnard, “ Statistical Inference”, Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B, vol. 11, pp. 115 – 149, 1949.
- [12] George Marsaglia, “ Evaluating the Normal Distribution ”, Journal of Statistical Software, vol. 11, no. 4, 2004. [http : // www.jstatsoft.org / v11/ i05 / paper](http://www.jstatsoft.org/v11/i05/paper).
- [13] Helen M. Walker, “ De Moivre on the Law of Normal Probability ”, In Smith, David Eugene, 1985. A Source Book in Mathematics, Dover, ISBN 0 – 486 – 64690 – 4.
- [14] Ivory, “ On the Method of Least Squares ”, Phil. Mag., vol. LXV, pp. 3 – 10, 1825.
- [15] J. Bernoulli, “ Arts Conjectandi ”, Impensis Thurmisionum Fratrum Basileae, 1713.
- [16] Lucien Le Cam, “ Maximum likelihood — an introduction ”, ISI Review, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 153 –171, 1990.
- [17] Michiel ed. Hazewinkel, “ Normal Distribution ”, Encyclopedia of Mathematics, Springer, ISBN 978 – 1 – 55608 – 010 – 4, 2001.
- [18] Wlodzimierz Brye, “ The Normal Distribution: Characterizations with Applications ”, Springer – Verlag, ISBN 0 – 387 – 97990 – 5, 1995.